

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alifa Agustina Putri, Argamaya. (2015). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Leverage, Collateralizable Assets, Dan Investment Opportunity Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen. Jakarta : Universitas Bakrie.
- Anggun Novitasari. (2020). Karakteristik Sistem Informasi Akuntansi Manajemen Terhadap Kinerja Manajerial. Lampung : Universitas Lampung.
- April Martalina, Siti Nurhayati Nafsial. (2017). Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Likuiditas, Leverage, Growth Opportunities, Dan Total Asset Turn Over Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen. Palembang : Universitas Bina Darma.
- Citra Ayu Wulansari. (2020). Pengaruh kepemilikan manajerial, *Cash Ratioi, Debt To Equity Ratio Dan Growth Opportunity* Terhadap Kebijakan Dividen. Lampung : Universitas Lampung
- Fahmi, Irham. (2012). Analisis Kinerja Keuangan. Bandung : Alfabeta
- Fahmi, Irham. (2012). Pengantar Pasar Modal. Bandung : Alfabeta
- Hery. (2016). Analisis Laporan Keuangan *Integrated And Comprehensive Edition*. Jakarta: Gramedia Widiasarana.
- Hery. (2017). Riset Akutansi. Jakarta: Gramedia Widiasarana.
- Lestari. (2004). Pengaruh Kebijakan Dividen, Risiko dan Profitabilitas Perusahaan Terhadap Set Kesempatan Investasi , Simposium Nasional Akuntansi Indonesia VII. Denpasar Bali: Hlm. 1059-1071.
- Marota, R., Alipudin, A., & Maiyarash, A. (2018). Pengaruh Debt To Assets Ratio (Dar), Current Ratio (Cr) dan Corporate Governance dalam Memprediksi Financial Distress pada Perusahaan Bumn Sektor Non Keuangan yang Terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. JIAFE: 4(2), 249–266.

- Ninnasi Muttaqin. (2019). Analisis Kebijakan Dividen Di Indonesia.Surabaya :Universitas Nahdlatul Ulama Surabaya
- Nuryani Alfath. S. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan *Institusional*, *Return On Asset*, Dan *Leverage* Terhadap *Financial Distress*.Makassar : UIN Alaudin Makassar.
- Rahma Damayanti. (2017).Analisa Kebijakan Dividen Berdasarkan Teori *Lintner*.Surakarta :Universitas Islam Batik Surakarta.
- Rustendi, Tedi dan Faris, Jimmi. (2008). Pengaruh Hutang dan kepemilikan Manajerial terhadap Nilai Perusahaan pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. Jawa Barat : Jurnal Akuntansi FE Unsil .
- Sartono, A. (2001). Manajemen Keuangan Teori dan Aplikasi. Yogyakarta:BPEF.
- Sulistiani, Nani. (2010). Pengaruh kepemilikan Manajerial, Kepemilikan Intitusional, Kebijakan deviden dan Laverage Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. Yogyakarta :Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Kalijaga.
- Tarigan, Josua & Yulius Yogi Christiawan. (2007). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial, Kebijakan Utang, Kinerja, dan Nilai Perusahaan. Surabaya : Universitas Kristen Petra.
- Widhiari, N., & Merkusiwati, N. (2015). Pengaruh Rasio Likuiditas, Leverage, Operating Capacity, dan Sales Growth Terhadap Financial Distress. E-Jurnal Akuntansi: 11(2), 456–469.

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 : Daftar Perusahaan Sektor *Consumer Goods*

No	Nama Perusahaan	Kode
1	Unilever Indonesia Tbk.	UNVR
2	Delta Djakarta Tbk.	DLTA
3	Indofood CBP Sukses Makmur Tbk.	ICBP
4	Multi Bintang Indonesia Tbk.	MLBI
5	Nippon Indosari Corpindo Tbk.	ROTI
6	Sekar Laut Tbk.	SKLT
7	Ultra Jaya Milk Industry & Trading Company Tbk.	ULTJ
8	Wilmar Cahaya Indonesia Tbk.	CEKA
9	Chitose Internasional Tbk.	CINT
10	Industri Jamu dan Farmasi Sido Muncul Tbk.	SIDO
11	Kalbe Farma Tbk.	KLBF
12	Merck Tbk.	MERK
13	Tempo Scan Pacific Tbk.	TSPC
14	H.M. Sampoerna Tbk.	HMSP
15	Wismilak Inti Makmur Tbk.	WIIM

Lampiran 2 : Output Statistik Deskriptif

```
. sum KD mmx_KM mmx_CR mmx_DER mmx_GO
```

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
KD	90	.7222222	.4504125	0	1
mmx_KM	90	.568775	.2847513	-2.21e-09	1
mmx_CR	90	.2556188	.270277	-2.02e-10	1
mmx_DER	90	.2752842	.3018446	-1.34e-09	1
mmx_GO	90	.3835374	.2835658	-8.03e-09	1

Lampiran 3: Output *Pooled Least Square Model*

```
. reg KD mmx_KM mmx_CR mmx_DER mmx_GO
```

Source	SS	df	MS			
Model	1.64614646	4	.411536614	Number of obs =	90	
Residual	16.4094091	85	.193051872	F(4, 85) =	2.13	
Total	18.0555556	89	.202871411	Prob > F =	0.0838	
				R-squared =	0.0912	
				Adj R-squared =	0.0484	
				Root MSE =	.43938	

KD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mmx_KM	.0569317	.1697644	0.34	0.738	-.2806054	.3944688
mmx_CR	.5568046	.1979295	2.81	0.006	.1632677	.9503416
mmx_DER	.2324091	.1833946	1.27	0.209	-.1322285	.5970466
mmx_GO	-.1145466	.1650153	-0.69	0.489	-.4426412	.213548
_cons	.5274655	.1416154	3.72	0.000	.2458962	.8090349

Lampiran 4: Output *Fixed Effect Model*

```
. xtreg KD mmx_KM mmx_CR mmx_DER mmx_GO, fe
```

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression      Number of obs   =      90
Group variable: Emiten                 Number of groups =      15

R-sq:  within = 0.0363                  Obs per group:  min =      6
      between = 0.0531                  avg =      6.0
      overall  = 0.0360                  max =      6

                                F(4, 71) =      0.67
corr(u_i, Xb) = -0.1779                Prob > F =      0.6158
```

KD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mmx_KM	.246332	.2881812	0.85	0.396	-.328285	.8209489
mmx_CR	.2948329	.451182	0.65	0.516	-.6047983	1.194464
mmx_DER	.3207012	.2547247	1.26	0.212	-.1872054	.8286078
mmx_GO	-.0483192	.1731781	-0.28	0.781	-.3936264	.2969881
_cons	.4369982	.234626	1.86	0.067	-.0308328	.9048291
sigma_u	.22382039					
sigma_e	.43331523					
rho	.21061154	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

```
F test that all u_i=0:      F(14, 71) =      1.17                Prob > F = 0.3162
```


Lampiran 8 : Output Uji Normalitas

```
. swilk KD KM CR DER GO
```

Shapiro-Wilk W test for normal data

Variable	Obs	W	V	z	Prob>z
KD	90	0.97638	1.787	1.280	0.10025
KM	90	0.79726	15.335	6.021	0.00000
CR	90	0.80611	14.665	5.923	0.00000
DER	90	0.76524	17.757	6.345	0.00000
GO	90	0.58989	31.020	7.575	0.00000

Lampiran 9 : Output Uji Multikolinearitas

```
. reg KD mmx_KM mmx_CR mmx_DER mmx_GO
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs = 90		
Model	1.64614646	4	.411536614	F(4, 85) =	2.13	
Residual	16.4094091	85	.193051872	Prob > F =	0.0838	
Total	18.0555556	89	.202871411	R-squared =	0.0912	
				Adj R-squared =	0.0484	
				Root MSE =	.43938	

KD	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mmx_KM	.0569317	.1697644	0.34	0.738	-.2806054	.3944688
mmx_CR	.5568046	.1979295	2.81	0.006	.1632677	.9503416
mmx_DER	.2324091	.1833946	1.27	0.209	-.1322285	.5970466
mmx_GO	-.1145466	.1650153	-0.69	0.489	-.4426412	.213548
_cons	.5274655	.1416154	3.72	0.000	.2458962	.8090349

```
.  
. vif
```

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
mmx_DER	1.41	0.707855
mmx_CR	1.32	0.757957
mmx_KM	1.08	0.928238
mmx_GO	1.01	0.990668
Mean VIF	1.20	

Lampiran 10 : Output Uji Heteroskedastisitas

```
. hettest

Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
Ho: Constant variance
Variables: fitted values of KD

chi2(1)      =      7.15
Prob > chi2  =      0.0075
```

Lampiran 11 : Output Uji Autokorelasi

```
. xtserial KD mnx_KM mnx_CR mnx_DER mnx_GO

Wooldridge test for autocorrelation in panel data
H0: no first-order autocorrelation
F( 1,      14) =      3.633
Prob > F =      0.0774
```

Lampiran 12 : Output Regresi Logistik Data Panel Persamaan (1)

```
. logit KD mnx_KM, vce(robust)

Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.175802
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.10848
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.108453
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.108453

Logistic regression                                Number of obs   =           90
                                                    Wald chi2(1)    =            0.12
                                                    Prob > chi2     =           0.7257
Log pseudolikelihood = -53.108453                Pseudo R2      =           0.0013
```

KD	Robust		z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
	Coef.	Std. Err.				
mnx_KM	.3038862	.8662465	0.35	0.726	-1.393926	2.001698
_cons	.7843047	.5370737	1.46	0.144	-.2683405	1.83695

Lampiran 13 : Output Marginal Effect After Logit (1)

```
. margins, dydx(*) vce(unconditional)

Average marginal effects                    Number of obs =          90

Expression   : Pr(KD), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : mnx_KM
```

	Unconditional				[95% Conf. Interval]	
	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z		
mnx_KM	.0608732	.1730983	0.35	0.725	-.2783933	.4001397

Lampiran 14 : Output Fitstat (1)

```
. fitstat

Measures of Fit for logit of KD

Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -53.176   Log-Lik Full Model:   -53.108
D(88):                   106.217   LR(1):                0.135
                          Prob > LR:   0.714
McFadden's R2:           0.001   McFadden's Adj R2:   -0.036
Maximum Likelihood R2:   0.001   Cragg & Uhler's R2:   0.002
McKelvey and Zavoina's R2: 0.002   Efron's R2:          0.002
Variance of y*:          3.297   Variance of error:   3.290
Count R2:                 0.722   Adj Count R2:        0.000
AIC:                      1.225   AIC*n:               110.217
BIC:                      -289.766  BIC':                 4.365
```

Lampiran 15 : Output Regresi Logistik Data Panel persamaan (2)

```
. logit KD mnx_CR, vce(robust)

Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.175802
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -49.581053
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -49.394776
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -49.393797
Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -49.393796

Logistic regression                    Number of obs =          90
Wald chi2(1) = 8.72
Prob > chi2 = 0.0032
Pseudo R2 = 0.0711

Log pseudolikelihood = -49.393796
```

KD	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mnx_CR	3.295203	1.116093	2.95	0.003	1.107701	5.482705
_cons	.2792248	.3220687	0.87	0.386	-.3520183	.9104679

Lampiran 22 : Output Marginal Effect After Logit (4)

```
. margins, dydx(*) vce(unconditional)

Average marginal effects                Number of obs   =          90

Expression   : Pr(KD), predict()
dy/dx w.r.t. : mmx_GO
```

	Unconditional					
	dy/dx	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mmx_GO	-.1084298	.1605719	-0.68	0.500	-.4231448	.2062853

Lampiran 23: Output Fitstat (4)

```
. fitstat

Measures of Fit for logit of KD

Log-Lik Intercept Only:   -53.176   Log-Lik Full Model:   -52.958
D(88):                   105.916   LR(1):                 0.435
                          Prob > LR:   0.509
McFadden's R2:           0.004   McFadden's Adj R2:   -0.034
Maximum Likelihood R2:   0.005   Cragg & Uhler's R2:  0.007
McKelvey and Zavoina's R2: 0.007   Efron's R2:          0.005
Variance of y*:         3.314   Variance of error:   3.290
Count R2:                0.722   Adj Count R2:        0.000
AIC:                     1.221   AIC'n:               109.916
BIC:                     -290.067  BIC':                 4.065
```

Lampiran 24 : Output Regresi Logistik Data Panel Persamaan (5)

```
. logit KD mmx_KM mmx_CR mmx_DER mmx_GO, vce(robust)

Iteration 0:  log pseudolikelihood = -53.175802
Iteration 1:  log pseudolikelihood = -48.096779
Iteration 2:  log pseudolikelihood = -47.473443
Iteration 3:  log pseudolikelihood = -47.462762
Iteration 4:  log pseudolikelihood = -47.46275
Iteration 5:  log pseudolikelihood = -47.46275

Logistic regression                Number of obs   =          90
Wald chi2(4)                       =           9.31
Prob > chi2                          =          0.0539
Pseudo R2                            =          0.1074

Log pseudolikelihood = -47.46275
```

KD	Robust					
	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
mmx_KM	.549295	.9228465	0.60	0.552	-1.259451	2.358041
mmx_CR	5.21176	1.788016	2.91	0.004	1.707313	8.716208
mmx_DER	1.506505	.9488505	1.59	0.112	-.3532082	3.366217
mmx_GO	-.8623341	.8040264	-1.07	0.283	-2.438197	.7135287
_cons	-.4699369	.80529	-0.58	0.560	-2.048276	1.108402



UNIVERSITAS NASIONAL

FAKULTAS EKONOMI DAN BISNIS

Program Studi : S1- Manajemen, S1- Akuntansi, dan S1- Pariwisata

Jl. Sawo Manila No. 61 Pejaten, Pasar Minggu, Jakarta 12520 Telp. (021) 78833307, 7806700 (Hunting) Fax. 7802718, 7802719

P.O. Box 4741 Jakarta 12047 Homepage : <http://www.unas.ac.id> E-mail : febunas49@gmail.com

KONSULTASI BIMBINGAN

Npm : 183112340240602
Nama : Fauzia Listianto
Program Studi : Manajemen
Konsentrasi : Manajemen Keuangan

KONSULTASI PEMBIMBING PROPOSAL

Tanggal	Materi Konsultasi	Status
17 April, 2022	pengaruh kepemilikan manajerial, <i>cash ratio</i>, <i>debt to equity ratio</i>, dan <i>growth opportunity</i> terhadap kebijakan dividen pada perusahaan <i>consumer goods</i> yang terdaftar di bursa efek indonesia periode 2015-2020	Sudah Ditanggapi

KONSULTASI PEMBIMBING TUGAS AKHIR

Tanggal	Materi Konsultasi	Status
27 July, 2022	bimbingan bab i	Sudah Ditanggapi
27 July, 2022	bimbingan bab ii	Sudah Ditanggapi
27 July, 2022	bimbingan bab iii	Sudah Ditanggapi
27 July, 2022	bimbingan bab iv	Sudah Ditanggapi
27 July, 2022	revisi bab iv	Sudah Ditanggapi

Tanggal	Materi Konsultasi	Status
27 July, 2022	revisi bab iv	Sudah Ditanggapi
27 July, 2022	bimbingan bab v	Sudah Ditanggapi
29 July, 2022	bimbingan bab i-v	Sudah Ditanggapi



ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

12%

INTERNET SOURCES

9%

PUBLICATIONS

5%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	jurnal.feb.unila.ac.id Internet Source	3%
2	www.coursehero.com Internet Source	2%
3	www.jurnal.syntaxliterate.co.id Internet Source	1%
4	Submitted to Cardiff University Student Paper	1%
5	www.stata.com Internet Source	1%
6	media.neliti.com Internet Source	1%
7	onesearch.id Internet Source	1%
8	pdfcoffee.com Internet Source	1%
9	digilib.unila.ac.id Internet Source	1%