

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abd Jalil, M. A., Kasmuri, A. R., & Hadi, H. (2017). Stingless Bee Honey, the Natural Wound Healer: A Review. *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 30(2), 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000458416>
- Agustina, N. (2022, July 23). Manfaat Madu Bagi Kesehatan. *Kementrian Kesehatan, Direktorat Jenderal Pelayanan Kesehatan*. https://yankes.kemkes.go.id/view_artikel/424/manfaat-madu-bagi-kesehatan
- Al-Waili, N., Salom, K., & Al-Ghamdi, A. A. (2011). Honey for Wound Healing, Ulcers, and Burns; Data Supporting Its Use in Clinical Practice. *TheScientificWorldJOURNAL*, 11, 526901. <https://doi.org/10.1100/tsw.2011.78>
- Anand, S., Deighton, M., Livanos, G., Morrison, P. D., Pang, E. C. K., & Mantri, N. (2019). Antimicrobial Activity of Agastache Honey and Characterization of Its Bioactive Compounds in Comparison With Important Commercial Honeys. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00263>
- Arawwawala, M., & Hewageegana, sujatha. (2017). Health Benefits and Traditional Uses of Honey: A Review. *Journal of Apitherapy*, 2(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.5455/ja.20170208043727>
- Balázs, V. L., Nagy-Radványi, L., Bencsik-Kerekes, E., Koloh, R., Szabó, D., Kocsis, B., Kocsis, M., & Farkas, Á. (2023). Antibacterial and Antibiofilm Effect of Unifloral Honeys against Bacteria Isolated from Chronic Wound Infections. *Microorganisms*, 11(2), 509. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11020509>
- Bogdanov, S. (1997). Nature and Origin of the Antibacterial Substances in Honey. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 30(7), 748–753. <https://doi.org/10.1006/fstl.1997.0259>
- Brooks, G. F., Carrol, K. C., Butel, J. S., Morse, S. A., & Mietzner, T. A. (2012). *Mikrobiologi Kedokteran* (A. Adityaputri, Ed.; 25th ed.). EGC.
- Chepulis, L. (2008). *Healing honey: a natural remedy for better health and wellness*. Universal-Publishers.
- CLSI. (2020). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing* (30th ed.). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

- Condon, R. E. (1993). Curious interaction of bugs and bees. *Surgery*, *113*, 234–235.
- Dai, T., Huang, Y.-Y., K Sharma, S., T Hashmi, J., B Kurup, D., & R Hamblin, M. (2010). Topical antimicrobials for burn wound infections. *Recent Patents on Anti-Infective Drug Discovery*, *5*(2), 124–151.
- Daltrey, D. C., Rhodes, B., & Chattwood, J. G. (1981). Investigation into the microbial flora of healing and non-healing decubitus ulcers. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, *34*(7), 701–705. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.34.7.701>
- Danielsen, L., Westh, H., Balsev, E., Rosdahl, V. T., & Döring, G. (1996). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* exotoxin A antibodies in rapidly deteriorating chronic leg ulcers. *The Lancet*, *347*(8996), 265. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(96\)90442-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(96)90442-0)
- Dore Bsc, C. J. (1988). Bacterial colonisation of leg ulcers and its effect on the success rate of skin grafting E LESLIE GILLILAND FRCS Senior Registrar in Surgery NISHA NATHWANI Medical Student. In *Annals of the Royal College of Surgeons of England* (Vol. 70).
- Eldin, S., Mohammed, A., Kabbashi, A. S., Koko, W. S., Khan, K. A., Ghramh, H. A., El-Niweiri, M. A. A., Al-Shehri, B. M., & Mohammed, M. E. A. (2024). *Antimicrobial, Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Properties of Four Types of Honey as Related to their Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents*. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202401.2236.v1>
- Erejuwa, O. O., Sulaiman, S. A., Wahab, M. S., Sirajudeen, K. N. S., Salleh, M. S. MD., & Gurtu, S. (2010). Antioxidant protection of Malaysian tualang honey in pancreas of normal and streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. *Annales d'Endocrinologie*, *71*(4), 291–296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ando.2010.03.003>
- Erol, S., Altoparlak, U., Akcay, M. N., Celebi, F., & Parlak, M. (2004). Changes of microbial flora and wound colonization in burned patients. *Burns*, *30*(4), 357–361. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.burns.2003.12.013>
- Ferdy Ananta. (2020). *Karakteristik Fisik Dan Kimia Madu Dari Nektar Akasia (Acacia crassicarpa) Dan Sawit (Elaeis guineensis)*. Universitas Lancang Kuning.
- Gunawan, N. A. (2017). Madu : Efektivitasnya dalam untuk Perawatan Luka. *Cermin Dunia Kedokteran*, *44*(2). <https://doi.org/10.55175/cdk.v44i2.824>
- Halbert, A. R., Stacey, M. C., Rohr, J. B., & Jopp-Mckay, A. (1992). The Effect Of Bacterial Colonization On Venous Ulcer Healing. *Australasian Journal of Dermatology*, *33*(2), 75–80. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-0960.1992.tb00083.x>

- Hall, M. (1997). Minor burns and hand burns: comparing treatment methods. *Professional Nurse (London, England)*, 12(7), 489–491.
- Han, A., Zenilman, J. M., Melendez, J. H., Shirliff, M. E., Agostinho, A., James, G., Stewart, P. S., Mongodin, E. F., Rao, D., & Rickard, A. H. (2011). The importance of a multifaceted approach to characterizing the microbial flora of chronic wounds. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*, 19(5), 532–541.
- Hariyati, L. F. (2010). *Aktivitas Antibakteri Berbagai Jenis Madu Terhadap Mikroba Pembusuk (Pseudomonas fluorescens FNCC 0071 dan Pseudomonas putida FNCC 0070)*.
- Hartady, T., Rizky, M., Syamsunarno, A. A., Sabri, J. Bin, & Priosoeryanto, B. P. (2020). *Bioactivity of Amomum Compactum Soland Ex Maton (Java Cardamom) as a Natural Antibacterial*.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/345311840>
- Ihsan, M. H., Wicaksono, A., Habisukan, U. H., Hapida, Y., Aini, K., Syarifah, S., & Oktiansyah, R. (2022). Aktivitas Antibakteri Madu Lebah (*Tetragonula laeviceps*) terhadap Pertumbuhan Berbagai Macam Bakteri. *Bioscientist : Jurnal Ilmiah Biologi*, 10(2), 662.
<https://doi.org/10.33394/bioscientist.v10i2.5586>
- Jawetz, E., Melnick, J. L., Adelberg, E. A., Brooks, G. F., Butel, J. S., & Ornston, L. N. (2005). Mikrobiologi kedokteran. *Jakarta: EGC*.
- Johnson, A. C., Buchanan, E. P., & Khechoyan, D. Y. (2022). Wound infection: A review of qualitative and quantitative assessment modalities. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery*, 75(4), 1287–1296.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2021.11.060>
- Kishore, R. K., Halim, A. S., Syazana, M. S. N., & Sirajudeen, K. N. S. (2011). Tualang honey has higher phenolic content and greater radical scavenging activity compared with other honey sources. *Nutrition Research*, 31(4), 322–325.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2011.03.001>
- Kwakman, P. H. S., & Zaat, S. A. J. (2012). Antibacterial components of honey. *IUBMB Life*, 64(1), 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1002/iub.578>
- MacFarlane, D. E., Baum, K. F., & Serjeant, G. R. (1986). Bacteriology of sickle cell leg ulcers. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 80(4), 553–556. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203\(86\)90137-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(86)90137-9)
- Majtan, J. (2011). Methylglyoxal—a potential risk factor of manuka honey in healing of diabetic ulcers. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2011.

- Mohapatra, D. P., Thakur, V., & Brar, S. K. (2011). Antibacterial efficacy of raw and processed honey. *Biotechnology Research International*, 2011.
- Oxoid. (2023). *Blood Agar Base*.
- Pal'tsyn, A. A., Alekseev, A. A., Krutikov, M. G., Kolokol'chikova, E. G., Chervonskaia, N. V, Badikova, A. K., Grishina, I. A., & Skuba, N. D. (1996). The causative agent of wound infection and sepsis in burn patients. *Zhurnal Mikrobiologii, Epidemiologii i Immunobiologii*, 5, 84–86.
- Pham, T. N., Nguyen, T. V., Le, D. T., Diep, L. M. N., Nguyen, K. N., To, T. H. N., Le, T. H., & Nguyen, Q. V. (2022). Phenolic Profiles, Antioxidant, Antibacterial Activities and Nutritional Value of Vietnamese Honey from Different Botanical and Geographical Sources. *AgriEngineering*, 4(4), 1116–1138. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering4040069>
- Ratna Fadhillah, R., Wahyu Indriati, D., & Siti Sundari, A. (2020). Antibacterial Potency of Indonesian Randu Honey Against *Staphylococcus* sp. In *Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences* (Vol. 16, Issue SUPP16).
- Sachdeva, C., Satyamoorthy, K., & Murali, T. S. (2022). Microbial interplay in skin and chronic wounds. *Current Clinical Microbiology Reports*, 9(3), 21–31.
- Shariati, A., Azimi, T., Ardebili, A., Chirani, A. S., Bahramian, A., Pormohammad, A., Sadredinamin, M., Erfanimanesh, S., Bostanghadiri, N., Shams, S., & Hashemi, A. (2018). Insertional inactivation of oprD in carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains isolated from burn patients in Tehran, Iran. *New Microbes and New Infections*, 21, 75–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nmni.2017.10.013>
- Sherlock, O., Dolan, A., Athman, R., Power, A., Gethin, G., Cowman, S., & Humphreys, H. (2010). Comparison of the antimicrobial activity of Ulmo honey from Chile and Manuka honey against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 10(1), 1–5.
- Spoială, A., Ilie, C. I., Fikai, D., Fikai, A., & Andronescu, E. (2023). Synergic Effect of Honey with Other Natural Agents in Developing Efficient Wound Dressings. In *Antioxidants* (Vol. 12, Issue 1). MDPI. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12010034>
- Suhesti, E., Zalizar, L., Triwanto, J., Ervayendri, E., Hendroko Setyobudi, R., Tri Waskitho, N., Tarik Ibrahim, J., Maftuchah, M., Hadinoto, H., Vinceviča-Gaile, Z., Tonda, R., Anggara, A., Hartono, R., Utami Lestari, S., & Agung Pakarti, T. (2023). Quality Assessment on Honey Produced from Six Months Old *Acacia crassicarpa*. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 374. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202337400012>

- Vardi, Z. B. N. L. H. C. A. (1998). Local application of honey for treatment of neonatal postoperative wound infection. *Acta Paediatrica*, 87(4), 429–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08035259850157048>
- Veronika Yuventa da Cunha, Y., Salosso, Y., Christian Liufeto, F., Kelautan dan Perikanan, F., & Adisucipto, J. (2020). Eksplorasi Aktivitas Antibakteri Madu Hutan Asal Pulau Timor Terhadap Bakteri *Vibrio alginolyticus* Secara In Vitro. *Jurnal Aquatik*, 3(2). <http://ejurnal.undana.ac.id/jaqu/index>
- Viuda-Martos, M., Ruiz-Navajas, Y., Fernández-López, J., & Pérez-Álvarez, J. A. (2008). Functional Properties of Honey, Propolis, and Royal Jelly. *Journal of Food Science*, 73(9). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2008.00966.x>
- Willix, D. J., Molan, P. C., & Harfoot, C. G. (1992). A comparison of the sensitivity of wound-infecting species of bacteria to the antibacterial activity of manuka honey and other honey. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 73(5), 388–394. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1992.tb04993.x>
- Zainol, M. I., Mohd Yusoff, K., & Mohd Yusof, M. Y. (2013). Antibacterial activity of selected Malaysian honey. *BMC Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6882-13-129>
- Zucchetta, C., Tangohau, W., McCallion, A., Hardy, D. J., & Clavijo McCormick, A. (2022). Exploring the Chemical Properties and Biological Activity of Four New Zealand Monofloral Honeys to Support the Māori Vision and Aspirations. *Molecules*, 27(10), 3282. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27103282>

LAMPIRAN

Tabel Lampiran 1 Hasil Pengukuran Daya Hambat Bakteri Infeksi Luka di Kulit

Jenis Bakteri	Konsentrasi madu	Diameter Zona Hambat (mm)			Rata - rata
		1	2	3	
<i>S. aureus</i>	Kontrol +	21,9	19,7	24,8	23,35
	100%	15,5	11,8	13,6	14,55
	90%	15,0	10,3	12,6	13,8
	80%	14,2	9,2	12,6	13,4
<i>S. epidermidis</i>	Kontrol +	13,7	11,1	12,0	12,27
	100%	14,1	12,9	12,8	13,27
	90%	13,1	12,0	12,3	12,47
	80%	12,2	11,9	11,4	11,83
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Kontrol +	12,6	12,7	13,8	13,03
	100%	13,0	12,2	12,0	12,40
	90%	12,8	12,2	12,0	12,33
	80%	12,0	11,6	10,4	11,33

Tabel Lampiran 2 *Descriptive* Bakteri Infeksi Luka di Kulit

Konentration madu akasia		<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>S. epidermidis</i>	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	
80%	Mean	12.0000	11.8333	11.3333	
	95% Confidence	Lower Bound	5.6569	10.8294	9.2649
	Interval for Mean	Upper Bound	18.3431	12.8373	13.4018
	Std. Deviation		2.55343	.40415	.83267
	Minimum		9.20	11.40	10.40
	Maximum		14.20	12.20	12.00
	Std. Error		1.47422	.23333	.48074
90%	Mean	12.6333	12.4667	12.3333	
	95% Confidence	Lower Bound	6.7952	11.0541	11.2991
	Interval for Mean	Upper Bound	18.4715	13.8792	13.3676
	Std. Deviation		2.35018	.56862	.41633
	Minimum		10.30	12.00	12.00
	Maximum		15.00	13.10	12.80

	Std. Error		1.35688	.32830	.48074
100%	Mean		13.6333	13.2667	12.4000
	95% Confidence	Lower Bound	9.0371	11.4696	11.0855
	Interval for Mean	Upper Bound	18.2295	15.0637	13.7145
	Std. Deviation		1.85023	.72342	.52915
	Minimum		11.80	12.80	12.00
	Maximum		15.50	14.10	13.00
	Std. Error		1.06823	.41767	.30551
Kontrol +	Mean		22.1333	12.2667	13.0333
	95% Confidence	Lower Bound	15.7789	8.9867	11.3793
	Interval for Mean	Upper Bound	28.4877	15.5466	14.6874
	Std. Deviation		2.55799	1.32035	.66583
	Minimum		19.70	11.10	12.60
	Maximum		24.80	13.70	13.80
	Std. Error		1.47686	.76231	.38442

Tabel Lampiran 3 Uji Normalitas Bakteri Infeksi Luka di Kulit

		Tests of Normality					
		Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Perlakuan	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
<i>S. aureus</i>	80%	.260	3	.	.959	3	.609
	90%	.176	3	.	1.000	3	.977
	100%	.177	3	.	1.000	3	.970
	Kontrol +	.203	3	.	.994	3	.849
<i>S. epidermidis</i>	80%	.232	3	.	.980	3	.726
	90%	.282	3	.	.936	3	.510
	100%	.361	3	.	.807	3	.132
	Kontrol +	.247	3	.	.969	3	.664
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	80%	.292	3	.	.923	3	.463
	90%	.292	3	.	.923	3	.463
	100%	.314	3	.	.893	3	.363
	Kontrol +	.358	3	.	.812	3	.144

Tabel Lampiran 4 Hasil Subsets Uji Tukey pada *S. aureus*

Konsentrasi	N	Subset for alpha = 0,05	
		1	2
80%	3	12,0000	
90%	3	12,6333	
100%	3	13,6333	
Kontrol +	3		22,1333
Sig.		0,828	1,000

Tabel Lampiran 5 Hasil Subsets Uji Tukey pada *S. epidermidis*

Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	
80%	3	11,8333	
90%	3	12,4667	
100%	3	13,2667	
Kontrol +	3	12,2667	
Sig.		0,227	

Tabel Lampiran 6 Hasil Subsets Uji Tukey pada *P. aeruginosa*


Perlakuan	N	Subset for alpha = 0,05	
		1	
80%	3	11,8333	
Kontrol +	3	12,2667	
90%	3	12,4667	
100%	3	13,2667	
Sig.		0,227	

Tabel Lampiran 7 Hasil Subsets Uji Tukey pada Bakteri Infeksi Luka di Kulit


	N	Subset for alpha = 0,05
		1
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	9	12,0222
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	9	12,5222
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	9	12,7556
Sig.		0,499




Gambar Lampiran 1
Identifikasi Bakteri pada
Alat VITEX




Gambar Lampiran 2
Pembuatan Suspensi
Bakteri



Gambar Lampiran 3
Pembuatan Media
Mueller Hinton Agar




Gambar Lampiran 4 Daya Hambat pada *S. aureus*



Gambar Lampiran 5 Daya Hambat pada *S. epidermidis*





Gambar Lampiran 6 Daya Hambat pada *P.aeruginosa*

DAYA HAMBAT MADU AKASIA TERHADAP BAKTERI INFEKSI LUKA DI KULIT.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

22%

SIMILARITY INDEX

21%

INTERNET SOURCES

10%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	es.scribd.com Internet Source	3%
2	forikes-ejournal.com Internet Source	2%
3	repository.ub.ac.id Internet Source	2%
4	media.neliti.com Internet Source	2%
5	Submitted to Universitas Nasional Student Paper	1%
6	yankes.kemkes.go.id Internet Source	1%
7	ojs.unud.ac.id Internet Source	1%
8	core.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
9	majalah.bsilhk.menlhk.go.id Internet Source	1%